Aldosterone Immunoassay-Specific Cutoff Value for Seated Saline Suppression Test for Diagnosing Primary Aldosteronism
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A seated saline loading test (SLT) using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) is one of the most accepted confirmatory tests of primary aldosteronism. However, LC-MS/MS is time-consuming and is not widely available in diagnostic laboratories compared to immunoassay. With immunoassay, it is unknown whether SLT in the seated position is more accurate than that of the supine position, and a cutoff value of post-seated SLT plasma aldosterone concentration (PAC) must be established in the Korean population. Ninety-eight patients underwent SLT in both positions, and post-SLT PAC was measured by LC-MS/MS and radioimmunoassay. We confirmed primary aldosteronism if post-seated SLT PAC by LC-MS/MS exceeded 5.8 ng/dL. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was greater for seated than supine SLT (0.928 vs. 0.834, \( P=0.003 \)). The optimal cutoff value of post-seated SLT by radioimmunoassay was 6.6 ng/dL (sensitivity 83.3%, specificity 92.2%).
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INTRODUCTION

Primary aldosteronism (PA) is the most prevalent endocrine etiology of secondary hypertension [1,2] and is associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular and renal complications [3,4]. Treatment with mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists or surgical intervention is efficient in reducing these morbidities [1,5,6]. Thus, implementing a trustworthy diagnostic test for PA is crucial.
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Furthermore, immunoassay has performance variability among different laboratories compared to LC-MS/MS [7-9]. However, LC-MS/MS is more time-consuming and is not commonly accessible in most laboratories [10,11]. Since the diagnostic accuracy of seated SLT and the PAC cutoff measured by immunoassay for Korean populations have not been determined [8,12], we aimed to evaluate the diagnostic performances and the cutoff value of both supine and seated SLT assessed by radioimmunoassay (RIA).

**METHODS**

We retrospectively reviewed data from patients who underwent screening and confirmatory tests for PA from January 2018 through November 2021 at Samsung Medical Center (Supplementary Fig. S1). A total of 253 patients was screened, and 143 patients who were positive for screening test using RIA (plasma renin activity <1.0 ng/mL/hr and PAC ≥15.0 ng/dL, or aldosterone/renin ratio [ARR] ≥20.0 ng/dL per ng/mL/hr) were hospitalized for SLT and adrenal vein sampling (AVS). When these patients were admitted, there was no Korean data on the RIA cutoff of seated SLT. Although we confirmed PA by seated SLT using LC-MS/MS (PAC >5.8 ng/dL) [7], LC-MS/MS assay was time-consuming and yielded no result during the hospital stay. Therefore, all patients underwent both supine and seated SLTs on different days, and a positive result of supine SLT (PAC >5 ng/dL) was used as the criterion for performing AVS.

After administrating 2 L of intravenous normal saline over 4 hours, PAC was measured using LC-MS/MS (only after seated SLT; reference range of 0 to 30.0 ng/dL, and the inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variations [CVs] were below 7.0%), and RIA (after seated and supine SLT; by Beckman Coulter analyzer [Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA] with reference range of 4.1 to 33.5 ng/dL, and the inter-assay CVs of 10.2% at PAC 20.5 ng/dL and 8.1% at 43.2 ng/dL and the intra-assay CVs of 8.6% at 21.3 ng/dL and 4.5% at 43 ng/dL). Among hospitalized pa-
tients, 35 and 10 patients did not undergo supine and seated SLT, respectively. Thus, 98 patients were included in the final analysis.

An independent *t* test and the chi-square test were used to compare the data between PA-confirmed and PA-excluded groups. A paired *t* test was used to compare PAC by LC-MS/MS and RIA. The Pearson correlation and Bland-Altman analysis assessed the correlation and agreement between PAC by LC-MS/MS and RIA. The diagnostic performances and optimal cutoff values of seated and supine SLT were evaluated using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and Youden’s index method. Analyses were performed using MedCalc 20 (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium).

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Samsung Medical Center. The need for informed consent was waived (IRB No. 2022-05-084) because the study was retrospective and analyzed de-identified data.

**RESULTS**

The PA-confirmed group (*n*=34) showed higher baseline PAC, ARR, and post-SLT PAC with a lower potassium level than the PA-excluded group (*n*=64) (Table 1). In both groups, the PACs measured by RIA were statistically higher than that of LC-MS/MS (17% higher in the PA-confirmed group, *P*<0.001; 29% higher in the PA-excluded group, *P*=0.007).

A strong correlation between PAC by RIA and that by LC-MS/MS was shown, as in Supplemental Fig. S2A (*r*=0.864, *P*<0.001), and the linear regression-derived equation between the two assays was *y*=0.739*x*+0.849. Bland-Altman analysis demonstrated a mean difference of 2.7 ng/dL (95% confidence interval [CI], –9.1 to 14.4) (Supplemental Fig. S2B).

When the PAC was measured by RIA, the area under the curve (AUC) for seated SLT (0.928; 95% CI, 0.857 to 0.970) was significantly greater than that for supine SLT (0.834; 95% CI, 0.754 to 0.901; *P*=0.003) (Fig. 1). The Youden index revealed 6.6 ng/dL as the optimal cutoff for seated SLT measured by RIA (sensitivity 83.3%, specificity 92.2%). To assess the most clinically relevant cutoff of seated SLT, we calculated the sensitivities and specificities of different PAC cutoff levels (Supplemental Table S1).

In supine SLT measured by RIA, the Youden index indicated that 5.1 ng/dL was the optimal cutoff (sensitivity 83.3%, specificity 74.3%). Compared to seated SLT, the optimal cutoff of supine SLT showed the same sensitivity (83.3%) but lower specificity (74.3% for supine SLT vs. 92.2% for seated SLT). In this ROC analysis of supine SLT, the traditional cutoff levels of 5.0 and 10.0 ng/dL showed sensitivities of 83.3% and 52.8% and specificities of 72.9% and 91.4%, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

In this analysis, PAC measured by RIA and LC-MS/MS showed a strong correlation (*r*=0.864, *P*<0.001). In the PA-confirmed group, PAC by RIA demonstrated a 17% higher value than that by LC-MS/MS. Several studies reported that immunoassay might overestimate PAC due to its cross-reactivity with aldosterone metabolites, lowering its specificity [13,14]. Despite this disadvantage, our study demonstrated that RIA could be a reliable alternative (AUC of seated SLT=0.928) when LC-MS/MS is unavailable or when fast results are needed.

In our study, the diagnostic performance of seated SLT was superior to that of supine SLT (AUC 0.928 vs. 0.834, *P*=0.003). At the PAC cutoff of 6.6 ng/dL after seated SLT, specificity (92.2%) was higher than the optimal cutoff (5.1 ng/dL; specificity 74.3%) of supine SLT. These results are in line with the considerable number of PA patients exhibiting aldosterone response to the upright position, resulting in decreased PAC in the supine position [7].

According to guidelines, post-supine SLT PAC less than 5.0 ng/dL indicates a low probability of PA, PAC greater than 10
ng/dL indicates a very high likelihood of PA, and PAC between 5.0 and 10.0 ng/dL is uncertain [1]. On the other hand, in this study, seated SLT had only a single-point cutoff (6.6 ng/dL). The sensitivity (83.3%) and specificity (92.2%) of this cutoff level of 6.6 ng/dL were not inferior to the sensitivity of the supine SLT cutoff value of 5.0 (83.3%) and specificity of supine SLT cutoff value 10.0 (91.4%).

The limitation of this study is that it was a single-center study with a small number of participants. Since the performance of immunoassays is known to have variability among kits and laboratories [9,15], validation of our study results is required in different settings. However, this study was the first to validate a cutoff value of SLT for the Korean population.

In summary, this study suggests that immunoassay is a reliable alternative analytical method when LC-MS/MS is not available. Compared to supine SLT, a post-seated SLT cutoff of 6.6 ng/dL has enough specificity to avoid unnecessary diagnostic procedures such as AVS or surgical treatment.
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